### Case3:13-cv-00453-JST Document276 Filed03/11/13 Page1 of 7

1	Timothy L. Alger (SBN 160303)		
2	TAlger@perkinscoie.com Julie E. Schwartz (SBN 260624)		
3	JSchwartz@perkinscoie.com PERKINS COIE LLP		
4	3150 Porter Drive Palo Alto, CA 94304-1212		
5	Telephone: 650.838.4300 Facsimile: 650.838.4350		
6	Amanda J. Beane (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )		
7	ABeane@perkinscoie.com PERKINS COIE LLP		
8	1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900 Seattle, WA 98101-3099 Telephone: 206.359.8000		
9	Facsimile: 206.359.9000		
10	Attorneys for Defendant Twitter, Inc.		
11	[Additional counsel identified on		
12			
13	UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT	
14	NORTHERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
16			
17	MARC OPPERMAN, et al.,	Case No. 3:13-CV-00453-JST	
18	Plaintiffs,	OBJECTIONS TO AND REQUEST THAT	
19	V.	THE COURT STRIKE PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS'	
20	PATH, INC., et al.,	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SET TIME FOR RESPONSE TO SECOND	
21	Defendants.	AMENDED COMPLAINT OR, ALTERNATIVELY, TO SCHEDULE A	
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>		CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE,	
24		PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 7-11	
25			
26			
27			
28			
-"		OBJECTIONS TO AND REQUEST THAT THE COURT	

#### Case3:13-cv-00453-JST Document276 Filed03/11/13 Page2 of 7

1	Defendants Twitter, Inc. ("Twitter"), Apple, Inc., Chillingo Ltd. ("Chillingo"), Electronic	
2	Arts, Inc. ("EA"), Facebook, Inc., Foodspotting, Inc., Gowalla Inc., Instagram, Inc., Kik	
3	Interactive, Inc., Path, Inc., Rovio Entertainment Ltd. s/h/a Rovio Mobile Oy, Yelp! Inc. and	
4	ZeptoLab UK Limited (collectively "Defendants") hereby object to and respectfully request that	
5	the Court strike Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Administrative Motion to Set Time for	
6	Response to Second Amended Complaint or, Alternatively, to Schedule a Case Management	
7	Conference, Pursuant to Local Rule 7-11 ("Opposition") [Dkt. 273].	
8	Defendants object to and request that the Court strike Plaintiffs' Opposition on the	
9	following grounds:	
10	1. Plaintiffs' counsel, Jeff Edwards and Carl F. Schwenker, are not admitted to the	
11	bar of this Court, and they have not obtained orders permitting them to appear before the Court	
12	pro hac vice. No counsel who is a member of the bar of this Court has made an appearance on	
13	behalf of Plaintiffs in this matter. <sup>2</sup>	
14	2. Without obtaining leave. Plaintiffs have filed in this Court an Opposition more	

2. Without obtaining leave, Plaintiffs have filed in this Court an Opposition more than double the page limitation imposed by Local Rule 7-11(b). That Rule provides that any opposition to a motion for administrative relief "may not exceed 5 pages." Plaintiffs filed a brief in excess of 10 pages without seeking and obtaining leave of Court.

Defendants believe that their Administrative Motion should be granted, and that the Court should set a date for Defendants to respond to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint, whether by answer or by motion to dismiss, 20 days after a ruling on the pending motions to sever. [Dkt. 269.]

22

21

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

26

27

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Only members of the bar of the Northern District of California may practice before this Court. N.D. Cal. Local Rule 11-1(a). Mr. Edwards and Mr. Schwenker state in the caption of the Opposition that they are "to be admitted *pro hac vice*," but they have not filed an application pursuant to Local Rule 11-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Plaintiffs' counsel also filed in this case an Opposition to the Motion of Chillingo and EA to Sever [Dkt. 274], Opposition to Twitter's Renewed Motion to Sever [Dkt. 268], Notice of Filing on Motion to Relate in Hernandez Case [Dkt. 255], and Consent to Proceed before a Magistrate Judge [Dkt. 239]. Plaintiffs' counsel also filed a response to Apple's Motion to Relate in *Hernandez v. Path, Inc.*, Case. No. 4:12-CV-01515-YGR.

#### Case3:13-cv-00453-JST Document276 Filed03/11/13 Page3 of 7

1	If the Court believes it would be helpful, Defendants will submit a reply in support of the		
2	Administrative Motion that responds to the factual and legal contentions made by Plaintiffs in		
3	their Opposition. Contrary to Plaintiffs' assertion, Defendants did not act as though they had		
4	unlimited time to respond to the Second Amended Complaint, and they actively engaged		
5	Plaintiffs on scheduling issues almost immediately after the motions to transfer were granted. <sup>3</sup>		
6	Defendants' counsel repeatedly attempted to reach agreement with Plaintiffs' counsel, and they		
7	were led to believe by Plaintiffs' counsel that a scheduling stipulation was likely.		
8	Defendants respectfully request that the Court strike or disregard Plaintiffs' Opposition. In		
9	the Court chooses not to strike Plaintiffs' Opposition, Defendants respectfully request in the		
10	alternative that the Court permit Defendants to file a reply in support of the Administrative		
11	Motion, not to exceed 10 pages.		
12			
13	Dated: March 11, 2013 PERKINS COIE LLP		
14	<u>/s/ Timothy L. Alger</u> Timothy L. Alger		
15	, c		
16	Attorneys for Defendant TWITTER, INC.		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	<sup>3</sup> (See Declarations of Timothy L. Alger [Dkt. 269-1] and Marc J. Zwillinger [Dkt 269-2]		
26	in Support of Defendants' Administrative Motion to Set Time for Response to Second Amended Complaint, or Alternatively, to Schedule a Case Management Conference, Pursuant to Local Rule 7, 11, filed on March 6, 2013, and Dealerstian of S. Achlia Peringer in Support of Defendants'		
27	7-11, filed on March 6, 2013, and Declaration of S. Ashlie Beringer in Support of Defendants' Administrative Motion to Set Time for Response to Second Amended Complaint, or Alternatively, to Schedule a Case Management Conference, Pursuant to Local Rule 7-11, filed		

28

concurrently.)

1	Dated: March 11, 2013	ZWILLGEN PLLC
2		/s/ Marc J. Zwillinger
3		Marc J. Zwillinger (admitted pro hac vice)
4		1705 N Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20036
5		Tel.: (202) 706-5202 Email: marc@zwillgen.com
6		Attorneys for Defendants
7		CHILLINGO LTD. and ELECTRONIC ARTS,
8		INC.
9	Dated: March 11, 2013	DURIE TANGRI LLP
10		/s/ Michael H. Page
11		Michael H. Page
12		217 Leidesdorff Street San Francisco, CA 94111
13		Tel.: (415) 362-6666
14		Email: mpage@durietangri.com
15		Attorneys for Defendants FOODSPOTTING, INC. and YELP! INC.
16	Dated: March 11, 2013	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE
17	Dated. Match 11, 2013	LLP
18		/s/ Morvarid Metanat
19		Morvarid Metanat 1000 Marsh Road
20		Menlo Park, CA 94025-1015
21		Tel.: (650) 614-7344 Email: mmetanat@orrick.com
22		
23		Attorneys for Defendant GOWALLA INC.
24		
25		
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>		
28		
		OBJECTIONS TO AND REQUEST THAT THE COURT STRIKE PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO

1	Dated: March 11, 2013	COOLEY LLP
2		/s/ Mazda K. Antia
3		Mazda K. Antia
4		4401 Eastgate Mall San Diego, CA 92121-1909
5		Tel.: (858) 550-6139
6		Email: mantia@cooley.com
		Attorneys for Defendants
7		FACEBOOK, INC., INSTAGRAM, INC. and KIK INTERACTIVE, INC.
8	D ( 1 M	
9	Dated: March 11, 2013	FENWICK &WEST LLP
10		/s/ Jedediah Wakefield
11		Jedediah Wakefield 555 California Street, 12th Floor
12		San Francisco, CA 94104
13		Tel.: (415) 875-2300
		Email: jwakefield@fenwick.com
14		Attorneys for Defendant PATH, INC.
15		FATH, INC.
16	Dated: March 11, 2013	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
17		/s/ S. Ashlie Beringer
18		S. Ashlie Beringer
19		1881 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304-1211
20		Tel.: (650) 849-5300
		Email: aberinger@gibsondunn.com
21		Attorneys for Defendant
22		APPLE, INC.
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		OBJECTIONS TO AND REQUEST THAT THE COURT STRIKE PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO

1	Dated: March 11, 2013	HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP
2		/s/ Shelley G. Hurwitz
3		Shelley G. Hurwitz
4		400 South Hope Street, 8th Floor
		Los Angeles, CA 90071 Tel.: (213) 896-2476
5		Email: shelley.hurwitz@hklaw.com
6		
7		Christopher G. Kelly (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Judith R. Nemsick (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )
0		31 West 52nd Street
8		New York, New York 10019
9		Tel.: (212) 513-3200 Email: christopher.kelly@hklaw.com
10		Email: judith.nemsick@hklaw.com
11		
		Attorneys for Defendant ROVIO ENTERTAINMENT LTD. s/h/a ROVIO
12		MOBILE OY
13	Dated: March 11, 2013	MITCHELL SILBERBERG KNUPP LLP
14	Dated. Water 11, 2013	WITCHELL SILBERDERG KIVOTT ELI
15		/s/ Valentine Antonavich Shalamitski
		Valentine Antonavich Shalamitski
16		11377 W Olympic Blvd Los Angeles, CA 90064-1683
17		Tel: (310) 312-3736
18		Email: vas@msk.com
19		Attorneys for Defendant
		ZEPTOLAB UK LIMITED
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>		
28		OBJECTIONS TO AND REQUEST THAT THE COURT
		STRIKE PLAINTIPES' OPPOSITION TO  ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SET TIME

### Case3:13-cv-00453-JST Document276 Filed03/11/13 Page7 of 7

1	ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 5-1(i)(3)	
2	I, Timothy L. Alger, hereby attest, pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), that the concurrence to	
3	the filing of this document has been obtained from each signatory hereto.	
4		
5	Dated: March 11, 2013  PERKINS COIE LLP	
6	/s/ Timothy L. Alger	
7	Timothy L. Alger	
8	Attorneys for Defendant	
9	TWITTER, INC.	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		